

ABSTRACTS

This paper deals a case of which issues are related to jurisdiction, governing law, infringement of copyright(right of reproduction, right to prepare for derivative works, broadcasting right, right of making available works to the public), infringement of moral rights(right of integrity), willfulness of an accused infringer, and the scope of damages. This case may be a landmark case by applying Article 2 of the Conflict of Laws Act on the jurisdiction and governing law. This paper, however, argues that this case does not explain the criterion for deciding the infringement of right of integrity and calculation of consolation money.

Keywords : jurisdiction, governing law, conflict of law, works made for hire, minimum contact test, right of integrity, infringement of copyright